

# **REVIEW OF RESEARCH**



UGC APPROVED JOURNAL NO. 48514

VOLUME - 8 | ISSUE - 7 | APRIL - 2019

# FINANCES OF URBAN LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENTS (ULSG) IN KARNATAKA: (A RELATIVE STUDY BETWEEN KUDLIGI AND SANDUR ULSG)

IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)

Maria Swathi<sup>1</sup> and Dr. Chalwadi C. I.<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Doctoral Research Scholar, Dept. of Studies in Commerce, School of Management Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University, PG Centre, Yelaburga (Karnataka).

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, research Guide and Special



ISSN: 2249-894X

Officer , Dept. of Studies in Commerce, School of Management , Vijayanagara Sri Krishnadevaraya University , PG Centre, Yelaburga (Karnataka).

### **ABSTRACT:**

The ULBs are the integral and inalienable part of democratic and three-tier system of federal government. They are assigned the task of functioning, providing, supervising, directing and controlling social services from womb to tomb like common minimum health, education welfare services, roads, peace, security and beauty in environment. The massive growth of urban population and urbanization caused for creation of ULBs to take care the needs and basic facilities of the urban society and are responsible to pace municipal services as per the provisions laid in 12<sup>th</sup> Schedule of Indian Constitution (74<sup>th</sup> Amendment).

For the study, the Sandur and Kudligi municipalities have taken to fulfill the objectives with emerged methodology. Both the municipalities are oldest one and operating in same line. The area of these ULSG is regarded and identified as under developed in Nanjundappa Committee on Regional Imbalance. However, a few opportunistic difference lies among the two municipalities.

KEYWORDS: Quality of Life, Best Practices, Urbanization, Urban Financial Management.

# **INTRODUCTION:**

The world has witnessed the moment of urbanization and showed the migration of people from rural to urban areas. This transformation took place in human life on preferential basis to establish firmly for home and work alike. The rapid growth in the urban population million recorded 62.44 million (1951), 159.46 million (1981), 285.01 million (2001), 377.10 (2011) which are 17.29 percent, 23.34 percent, 27.75 percent and 31.16 percent to total population respectively. The massive growth of urban population and urbanization caused for creation of ULBs to take care the needs and basic facilities of the urban society and are responsible to pace municipal services as per the provisions laid in 12<sup>th</sup> Schedule of Indian Constitution (74<sup>th</sup> Amendment). The ULBs are the integral and inalienable part of democratic and three-tier system of federal government. They are assigned the task of functioning, providing, supervising, directing and controlling social services from womb to tomb like common minimum health, education welfare services, roads, peace, security and beauty in environment.

The existence of ULBs goes back to the ancient India from excavation of Harappa and Mohenjo-Daro a clear proof for well planned cities in India and evident in Ramayana, Mahabharata, Buddhist period to the existence of several forms of local self-governments. It continues to flourish under the Mouryan period to till Mughal rules. The writings of Koutilya and Meghastanese give clear evidential description for ULBs and its functions, operations and management and whatever the forms of cities administration was in autocratic form.

The modern and present form of municipal administration is imported by British to Indian and was their legacy. The first municipal corporation was setup in Madras (1688) followed by presidency towns Calcutta (1772) and Bombay (1793). The concept of budget is mandated services by Samuel Laing (1861-62) and elected representative by Lord Mayo Resolutions (1870), Lord Rippon Resolution (1882), which he provision some autonomy to electoral members in preparation of budget and hence, he is known a father of local self-governments.

# **OBJECTIVE AND METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY**

The objective of the study is to know the performance of the Sandur and Kudligi Municipalities in the management of finance and to draw the practicable recommendations for their better improvement in operations. In order to achieve the above objective both primary as well as secondary sources of data is used for the study. The primary information is collected from municipalities with a set of questionnaires and secondary data is collected from their published annual reports.

### **PUSHING FACTORS OF THE STUDY**

After reading the past works and knowing previous performances of ULSG, certain factors have pushed to undertake the study and those are:

- The ULSG are weakening in terms of raising resources and enjoying the autonomy.
- The present generated revenues are insufficient to manage urban welfare with assurance of high quality of life.
- There is no rationale in levying taxes and fixing fees and are in narrow and inflexible.
- They are showing improvement in management of resources by struggling to adopt best and unique practices.

Urban planning, including town planning	Roads and bridges	Fire services	Urban forestry, protection of environment and promotion of ecological aspects	
Regulation of land use and construction of buildings	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Urban poverty alleviation	Safeguarding the interests of weaker sections of society, including the disabled and mentally retarded	
Planning for economic and social development	URBAN GOVERNMENTS	LOCAL SELF	Burials and burial grounds, cremations, cremation grounds, and electric crematoriums	
Public health, sanitation conservancy and solid waste management	Promotio n of cultural, educational, and aesthetic aspects		Provision of urban amenities and facilities such as parks, gardens, playgrounds	
Water supply for domestic, industrial and commercial purposes		houses and	Public amenities including street lighting, parking lots, bus stops, and public conveniences	

# Provisioned Responsibilities of Municipalities have under 12<sup>th</sup> Schedule

Categories of Receipts (Sources) and Payments (Applications) of ULSG					
Revenue Receipts	Revenue Expenditures				
Own Taxes, Assigned Taxes					
Property Tax, Octroi, Toll Tax, Tax on Sale					
of Electricity, Advertisement Tax, Entertainment	Salary and Wages Administration and General Expenses, Light and Energy, Repairs and Maintenance, Service and Programme Expenses, Grant Expenses, Loan Charges and				
Tax, Profession Tax, Motor Vehicle Tax, Surcharge					
of Stamp Duty					
Others					
User Charges and Fees, Inter-	Other Expenses				
Governmental Grants, Sale and Hire Charges,					
Borrowings					
Capital Receipts	Capital Expenditures				
Loans from the State Government, Open					
Market Borrowings, Loans from Financial	Various Infrastructure Projects viz.				
Institutions and Grants and Aids for Specific	roads, bridges, water supply, sewerage etc.				
Projects					

# Fact Sheet of ULBs

	Sandur ULSG	Kudligi ULSG
Population	37,441	26680
Area (Sq. Kms)	22.13	32.18
Number of Properties	7657	8324
Number of Wards	23	20
Length of Roads (Kms)	60	46.78
Total Water Supply (lac ltrs)	4.2	3.6
Per Capita Water Supply (LPCD)	98	88.66

# Compared Results of the Study

Sl. No.	Particulars	Sandur ULSG	Kudligi ULSG		
Income Items:					
1	Tax Revenue	High	Low		
2	Assigned revenues and compensations	Low	High		
3	Rental Income from municipal properties	High	Low		
4	Fees, User Charges and Other Charges	High	Low		
5	Sale and Hire Charges	High	Low		
6	Grants and Contributions	High	Low		
7	Interest/Dividend Earned	High	Low		
8	Other Income	Low	High		
Expenditure Heads					
9	Human Resource Expenses	Low	High		
10	General Expenses	Low	High		
11	Operations and Maintenance	Low	High		
12	Interest and Finance Charges	Low	High		
13	Programme Expenses, Grants etc.	High	Low		
14	Provisions and Write Offs	Low	High		

# **COMMON FINDINGS OF THE STUDY**

- 1. There is always existence of mismatches between functions and finances.
- 2. Their own taxes and charges are grossly inadequate to meet their rising expenditures.

- 3. The State government is not showing interest to grant-in-aid due to its budget deficit.
- 4. The PPP is to adopt immediately under CSR or any other means and to work on that.
- 5. It is strategic option to collect the tax revenues from the public through motivation and awareness.

### **CONCLUSION**

The municipalities have to adopt the best resources mobilization practices and thereby, assure the quality of life for the general public. In this connection, there is need to follow best practices and cost management techniques. The commitment in raising resources should be done up to the mark in order to balance fiscal deficit for some extent. There should be rationale in levying taxes and fees.

### REFERENCES

- 1. http://www.kudalagitown.mrc.gov.in/
- 2. http://www.sandoortown.mrc.gov.in/