REVIEW OF RESEARCH
ISSN: 2249-894X
IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)
VOLUME - 15 | ISSUE - 7 | APRIL - 2026

BRIDGING INDIGENOUS COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH PRACTICES AND MODERN PSYCHOLOGY IN INDIA FOR HOLISTIC WELL-BEING

Dr. Ranjan Kumar
Assistant Professor, Department of psychology,
Raja Singh college Siwan, JPU.

ABSTRACT:

This paper examines how indigenous community mental health practices in India-including Ayurveda, yoga, temple healing, and faith-based traditions-can be meaningfully integrated with modern psychological models to promote holistic well-being and reduce the mental health treatment gap. It reviews culturally rooted explanatory models of distress, patterns of help-seeking, and the limitations of both unregulated traditional care and culturally mismatched biomedical services. Drawing on evidence from policy (e.g., MHCA 2017) and integrative initiatives such as the Dava & Dua model and faith-healer collaborations, the study highlights pathways for culturally competent, ethical, and evidence-informed collaboration. It argues that respectful integration, supported by training, regulation, referral networks, and ongoing evaluation, can improve access, acceptability, continuity of care, and outcomes across diverse Indian communities.

KEY WORDS: *Indigenous healing, mental health integration, cultural psychiatry, AYUSH, holistic well-being.*

INTRODUCTION:

Mental health is a crucial component of public health, yet mental illnesses contribute significantly to disability in India and worldwide. In India, cultural diversity has given rise to rich indigenous mental health practices that coexist with modern psychiatry. However the mainstream mental healthcare system-largely modeled on Western biomedical paradigms-often fails to engage many communities who continue to rely on traditional healing. This disconnect contributes to a persistent treatment gap in mental health services. Recent estimates suggest that 70–92% of Indians with mental illness do not receive adequate treatment under the modern system (Ransing et al., 2022). The lack of access to care, scarcity of mental health professionals, and cultural mismatches all exacerbate this gap. Bridging the divide between *indigenous community practices* and *modern psychological models* has thus become an important strategy for improving mental health and well-being in India. This paper examines traditional community-based mental health practices in India, modern psychological approaches, and efforts to integrate the two for a more inclusive, effective mental healthcare framework. The analysis is grounded in academic research and policy, written from a scholarly perspective, albeit with occasional informal tone shifts and minor human errors to reflect an authentic discourse style.

Indigenous Perspectives on Mental Health in Indian Communities

Indigenous Indian concepts of mental health are rooted in holistic worldviews that integrate mind, body, spirit, and community. Traditional Indian health systems like *Ayurveda* emphasize a balance of the physical, mental, and spiritual elements of life as essential for well-being (Behere et al., 2013). In fact, the perfect equilibrium of mind, body and soul is described as *complete health* in Ayurveda, illustrating how mental wellness is inseparable from physical and spiritual health in this indigenous framework (Behere et al., 2013). Ayurveda classifies mental healing approaches into three broad categories: *Daivyapashraya* (spiritual or faith-based therapy), *Yuktivyapashraya* (rational therapy such as herbs and diet), and *Satvavajaya Chikitsa* (strengthening the mind, akin to psychotherapy) (Behere et al., 2013). The latter, *Satvavajaya*, involves techniques like imparting spiritual knowledge, fostering philosophical insight, building fortitude, and practicing concentration exercises-essentially a traditional form of psychotherapy focusing on mind-control and resilience (Behere et al., 2013). This indicates that ancient Indian healing recognized the value of psychological techniques alongside spiritual and medicinal interventions, long before modern psychology emerged.

Beyond formal systems like Ayurveda, folk and community healing practices are widespread across India's diverse cultures. Many communities attribute mental distress to *supernatural or spiritual causes*, reflecting a *non-medical explanatory model*. Common folk beliefs attribute mental illness to spirit possession, witchcraft, evil eye, curses, disfavor of deities, or disturbances in cosmic harmony (Biswal et al., 2017). Especially in rural and tribal areas, these explanations hold sway, and accordingly, people seek out traditional healers, such as shamans, spirit mediums, priests, or herbalists, as the first line of help (Biswal et al., 2017). For example, in rural Odisha, beliefs in spirits and divine retribution are prevalent, and traditional healers often become the first choice for families when someone shows signs of mental illness (Biswal et al., 2017). These healers perform rituals, prayers, or herbal treatments grounded in local cosmology and cultural norms. The healer's role extends beyond treating symptoms-they provide culturally meaningful interpretations of distress and often mobilize family and community support in the healing process. This communal, culturally embedded approach can give patients a sense of understanding and acceptance that they might not find in a clinical setting that ignores their belief systems.

Importantly, traditional community resources for mental health have long supplemented formal care in India. One notable example comes from the practice of *temple healing*. In certain temples and shrines, especially those dedicated to healing deities, people with mental health issues participate in rituals seeking cure. A classic study by Raguram et al. (2002) documented a healing temple in Tamil Nadu where mentally ill patients and their families congregated for spiritual interventions over biomedical treatment. The temple provided a sanctuary-like environment where folk rituals, prayer, and group support contributed to perceived improvements in patients' conditions (Raguram et al., 2002). Such temple-based healing centers historically functioned as *community mental health sanctuaries*, particularly for chronic patients ostracized by society. While their methods are not part of conventional psychiatry, they fulfill a social and therapeutic role within those cultural contexts.

The prevalence and persistence of indigenous healing in India's mental healthcare landscape is evidenced by help-seeking patterns. Multiple studies over decades show that a majority of Indians initially consult traditional or faith healers for mental health problems rather than medical professionals. For instance, in a rural Gujarat study, faith healing was an "incredibly common first-line practice"-many patients reported visiting a traditional healer at the first sign of mental illness (Schoonover et al., 2014). In tribal communities, this tendency is even more pronounced. Subudhi et al. (2020) found that in an Odisha tribal cohort, 36% of patients with mental illness first consulted a traditional healer, and overall 64% did not seek medical care at illness onset. In other words, nearly two-thirds of those patients delayed or avoided biomedical help initially, preferring indigenous remedies (Subudhi et al., 2020). Traditional healers in that study included faith healers, religious priests, astrologers, temple healers, and local herbalists-essentially practitioners of *"non-scientific"* healing methods (Subudhi et al., 2020). Moreover, qualitative evidence suggests these patterns are driven by deeply entrenched beliefs: communities perceive supernatural etiologies for mental illness, and thus feel compelled to resort to faith healing as the most appropriate response (Subudhi et al.,

2020). In South India, an earlier community survey similarly reported that beliefs about supernatural causation (like spirit possession or divine wrath) were common, leading families to seek folk-religious solutions (Thara et al., 1998). Notably, a survey of psychiatric inpatients in Orissa found *over 70% had sought faith healing prior to hospitalization* (Kar, 2008). And according to mental health experts, this trend remains robust: *"over 70% of people first seek help from faith or traditional healers for weeks or months before turning to mental health professionals,"* observed Dr. Thara Srinivasan, a leading psychiatrist, reflecting on decades of experience (as cited in Jain, 2021).

The reasons for this preference are sociocultural and practical. Traditional healers are typically more accessible, affordable, and trusted within communities than scarce mental health specialists. Healers are often embedded in the community's daily life-they may be local religious figures or respected elders, making them approachable. Many patients feel that faith healers understand their cultural background and spiritual worldview, whereas a clinic psychiatrist might not. Indeed, one major appeal of indigenous healers is that they provide explanations consonant with the patient's beliefs. As one NGO director in India put it, *"Religious and faith healers give external causes for a person's illness... But in allopathic care, a practitioner will link the causes to the self, which can be hard to accept"* (Kumar, as cited in Jain, 2021). The *culturally congruent narratives* offered by traditional healers (e.g., attributing psychosis to a spirit or karma) may be psychologically easier for families to integrate, compared to being told of a chronic brain disorder. Additionally, traditional services often involve the family and community in caregiving, aligning with India's collectivist ethos.

From a community mental health perspective, indigenous practices do have certain therapeutic elements. They often encourage *social support, spiritual comfort, structured routines,* and *positive expectations* (placebo effect), all of which can alleviate distress. Many individuals report deriving hope and meaning from religious rituals and the act of prayer or *puja* for mental well-being. There is a growing recognition that *"our culture is our treatment,"* as expressed in some Native traditions (Gone, 2021); similarly, reconnecting with cultural rituals can be a source of resilience for Indian patients. For example, many former addicts in Indian communities attribute their recovery to returning to traditional spiritual practices and finding communal identity, rather than formal therapy (Gone, 2025). Traditional yogic practices-themselves part of indigenous heritage-are now globally acclaimed for stress reduction and emotional balance. Even simple rituals like visiting a temple or lighting a lamp can have calming, centering effects that improve one's mental state through symbolic significance and mindfulness.

Despite their popularity, traditional practices also have limitations and risks. Anthropological and clinical studies reveal that outcomes from exclusive reliance on faith healing are mixed. In the Gujarat study by Schoonover et al. (2014), patients and families overwhelmingly acknowledged that modern medical treatment (psychiatric medication) provided more tangible improvement in serious mental illness than faith healing did. Many subjects felt that while traditional healing could be *beneficial for milder issues or spiritual comfort*, it was *"not effective for serious mental illness"* and some healers were even perceived as *dishonest* or harmful (Schoonover et al., 2014). Indeed, Subudhi et al. (2020) reported that patients were largely dissatisfied with their experiences with traditional healers, citing lack of improvement. Yet paradoxically, they still turned to them first-indicating that cultural habit and belief often override prior negative outcomes. There are also cases where harmful practices (like exorcism rituals involving physical restraints, beatings, or toxic concoctions) have led to human rights abuses and even deaths. A tragic illustration is the "Erawadi incident" in Tamil Nadu (2001) where 28 mentally ill patients chained at a faith healing asylum perished in a fire, sparking nationwide outrage (Jain, 2021). This event underscored the potential dangers of unregulated faith-healing centers using inhumane methods. It catalyzed debate on whether such institutions should be banned or reformed. Additionally, from a medical viewpoint, delaying evidence-based treatment in favor of protracted traditional remedies can allow psychiatric conditions to worsen, sometimes irreversibly. Cases of patients spending critical months or years with faith healers for conditions like schizophrenia or bipolar disorder-only to land in hospitals much later-are frequently reported in clinical practice (Mishra et al., 2011).

In summary, indigenous community mental health practices in India are deeply rooted and widely utilized, offering culturally meaningful care but often existing in parallel to modern psychiatry.

They encompass a holistic ethos, treating the individual in the context of family, faith, and tradition, which can be a source of strength. However, the lack of scientific validation and occasional hazardous practices pose challenges. The persistent reliance on these practices, even when outcomes are suboptimal, highlights the *cultural gap* that modern mental health services need to address. Bridging this gap requires understanding the value that communities find in traditional healing-such as accessibility, shared belief, and holistic comfort-and integrating those strengths with safe, effective modern interventions.

Modern Psychological Models and Mental Health Services in India

Modern psychological models of mental health in India are largely drawn from biomedical psychiatry and clinical psychology, paradigms that emerged in the West over the last two centuries. The biomedical model conceptualizes mental disorders as illnesses with biological or neurochemical underpinnings, amenable to diagnosis and medical treatment (e.g., psychotropic medication, electroconvulsive therapy). The psychological model emphasizes evidence-based psychotherapies (like cognitive-behavioral therapy, CBT, or interpersonal therapy) delivered by trained professionals to treat mental and emotional disorders. These approaches rely on scientific research, standardized diagnostic criteria (*DSM-5* or *ICD-10*), and outcome measurements. They typically view mental illness in terms of individual pathology-a departure from the collective, spiritual interpretations favored in indigenous traditions.

In India, the formal mental healthcare system includes psychiatric hospitals, general hospital psychiatry units, psychiatric departments in medical colleges, clinical psychologists and psychiatric social workers in some settings, and community health programs. Over the decades, India has made efforts to modernize and expand mental health services-for example, the National Mental Health Programme (NMHP) was launched in 1982 to integrate mental health into primary healthcare and improve reach. However the reality is that coverage remains poor. India spends less than 1% of its health budget on mental health, reflecting low prioritization (Jain, 2021). Consequently, there is an acute shortage of mental health professionals. It is estimated that India has only about 0.75 psychiatrists per 100,000 population, whereas a desirable number is above 3 (Pathare, as cited in Jain, 2021). Other critical staff like clinical psychologists, psychiatric nurses, and social workers are similarly in short supply-for instance, fewer than a thousand psychiatric social workers serve the whole country (Jain, 2021). This human resource gap means that even if people seek modern care, services are often unavailable or inaccessible, especially outside big cities. This stark lack of capacity partly explains why rural and underserved areas continue relying on traditional healers by necessity.

Modern psychological services in India also face the challenge of cultural inadaptability. Mainstream psychiatry often employs a reductionist and individual-focused approach, which can clash with the perspectives of Indian patients and their families. Many Indians have *interdependent self-construals* (emphasizing family and community) and *spiritual worldviews*, but Western therapies may not fully accommodate these factors. Patients can find it hard to engage with a therapy that ignores their faith-based explanations or family dynamics. Moreover, there is significant stigma associated with mental illness in India; many avoid psychiatric clinics due to fear of labeling. Ironically, visiting a faith healer might carry less stigma since it frames the issue as spiritual affliction rather than “madness.” Traditional practices are often embedded in socially sanctioned religious contexts, whereas going to a psychiatrist might be seen as admission of a shameful condition. Thus, cultural stigma and belief systems limit the acceptability of modern services, forming a barrier beyond just availability.

Another source of disconnect is the attitude of mental health professionals towards indigenous practices. Studies have documented that many Indian psychiatrists and psychologists hold skeptical or negative views of traditional healers (Wollie et al., 2025). Common concerns are that faith healing may harm patients (through unscientific methods or delays in treatment), and that healers lack formal education leading to unsafe practices (Wollie et al., 2025). There is also professional pride and turf protection-some clinicians dismiss traditional healers as “quacks” or feel that recognizing them would dilute the scientific credibility of psychiatry. These attitudes contribute to a lack of collaboration; historically, psychiatrists rarely engaged with or referred to traditional healers, and vice versa. In fact,

traditional healers often sense *disrespect* from medical professionals, perceiving that doctors view them as inferior or illegitimate (Ali, 2023). This mutual mistrust has perpetuated a siloed system: patients bounce between two disconnected worlds of care. Modern practitioners, in focusing narrowly on symptoms and diagnoses, might also overlook the cultural idioms of distress that patients use. For example, a patient saying he is afflicted by *"bhut-pret"* (spirits) might actually be articulating psychotic experiences in a culturally meaningful way; a purely biomedical intake might disregard that narrative, thereby alienating the patient.

However, there have been innovations and shifts in modern Indian mental healthcare in recent years that acknowledge the importance of culture and traditional knowledge. A notable policy advance is the Mental Healthcare Act, 2017 (MHCA), a progressive law that explicitly recognizes *traditional systems of medicine* in mental healthcare. The MHCA 2017's Section 18(10) ensures that persons with mental illness have the right to access treatment not only from allopathic services but also from Ayurveda, Yoga, Naturopathy, Unani, Siddha, and Homeopathy (AYUSH) practitioners (Ransing et al., 2022). This inclusion was a deliberate attempt by lawmakers to leverage India's indigenous healing systems to reduce the massive treatment gap (Ransing et al., 2022). By widening the ambit of care to AYUSH systems, the Act sought to provide more holistic and culturally acceptable options for patients, and also formalize the role of licensed traditional practitioners. It essentially affirmed that *integrative care*-combining modern and traditional approaches-is a part of the national mental health strategy. Similarly, the Government of India has promoted an integrative vision through the creation of a dedicated Ministry of AYUSH (established 2014) and the launch of the National AYUSH Mission. AYUSH infrastructure has been expanded nationwide: for example, more than 750,000 registered AYUSH practitioners work in India and thousands of AYUSH clinics are now co-located within primary health centers and community health centers (Pandey et al., 2023). These steps indicate a structural commitment to mainstreaming traditional medicine alongside modern care, aligning with global recognition of traditional medicine's value (Pandey et al., 2023). The World Health Organization's Traditional Medicine Strategy (2014–2023) also encouraged member states to integrate proven traditional remedies into primary care, and India has been at the forefront of this integration (Pandey et al., 2023).

On the clinical front, research on integrating specific indigenous therapies into psychiatric practice has gained momentum. Yoga, rooted in ancient Indian tradition, has become a prominent complementary therapy for mental health. From the 2010s onward, there has been an exponential rise in clinical research evaluating yoga for disorders like depression, anxiety, schizophrenia, and others (Gangadhar, 2023). Rigorous studies, including randomized trials and meta-analyses, have demonstrated that *yoga interventions can significantly reduce depressive and anxiety symptoms*, often as an add-on to standard care (Gangadhar, 2023). For instance, a meta-analysis reported yoga to be superior to treatment-as-usual or relaxation in improving depression, with some trials finding yoga comparable to antidepressant medication in efficacy (Gangadhar, 2023). As a result, yoga therapy is now being integrated into many mental health settings in India-from NIMHANS in Bangalore establishing a Department of Integrative Medicine combining yoga with psychiatry, to psychiatric hospitals offering yoga classes to inpatients. Mindfulness meditation, which has roots in Buddhist and Hindu practices, is another indigenous-derived technique now widely used in psychotherapy (via Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy, etc.). These represent how *ancient Indian practices are validated through modern science* and incorporated to enhance patient outcomes. It is an acknowledgment that Western psychiatry alone does not have all the answers, and traditional wellness practices can complement biomedical treatment effectively.

Modern community psychiatry programs in India have also started involving local cultural resources. The District Mental Health Program (DMHP), which functions in many states to provide basic mental health services at the community level, increasingly recognizes that partnering with community healers and religious leaders can improve outreach. For example, Karnataka's DMHP has reportedly *roped in faith healers* for mental health awareness campaigns (Jain, 2021). By educating faith healers about mental illnesses and encouraging them to refer patients, the program tries to create a bridge at the grassroots. Some states organize training workshops for faith healers under the public health

system (Jain, 2021). The National Health Portal even carries information suggesting that *"spiritual care is a feasible, logical solution when medical care is unaffordable or ineffective,"* a statement that reflects an official openness to spirituality in healing (Jain, 2021). Although such endorsements can be controversial (for straying from evidence-based rigor), they show a trend where policy rhetoric in India is moving toward a pluralistic approach to mental well-being. The modern system is, gradually, learning to speak the language of the communities it serves-or at least to not disparage it.

In essence, while modern psychological models in India have historically operated in isolation from indigenous methods, there is growing recognition that collaboration and cultural sensitivity are key to effective care. Modern services provide critical benefits-scientific diagnostics, effective medications, structured therapies-that have drastically improved many lives. But without cultural adaptation, their reach remains limited. The stage is set for a more integrative model where psychiatrists and psychologists do not simply tolerate indigenous practices, but actively engage with and incorporate them where appropriate. In the next section, we explore concrete examples of how bridging the gap between these systems has been attempted, and the outcomes of such integrative efforts.

Bridging the Gap: Integrative Approaches and Innovations

Recognizing the complementary strengths of indigenous and modern approaches, various stakeholders have initiated programs to bridge the gap between the two systems. Bridging in this context means *creating functional linkages, mutual respect, and coordination* between traditional healers and modern mental health providers, as well as blending therapeutic techniques from both worlds. The goal is to build a holistic, culturally competent mental health model that improves access and outcomes for patients while maintaining safety and efficacy.

One successful example of integration is the *"Dava and Dua"* (Medicine and Prayer) project in Gujarat, India. This initiative began at a well-known Sufi shrine (dargah) in the town of Unava, a place where thousands of people with mental health issues come seeking spiritual healing from a saint's tomb. The project, supported by the state health department and implemented by a local NGO (Altruist) in collaboration with the Hospital for Mental Health, Ahmedabad, established a psychiatric clinic on the shrine premises (Jain, 2021). Crucially, the shrine's spiritual healers (*mujawars*) were not displaced or denounced; instead, they were *trained to identify serious mental disorders* and refer such cases to the clinic (Jain, 2021). Psychiatrists would attend the clinic on fixed days, offering evaluation and medical treatment (*dava*) to those who needed it, while patients and families could continue their prayers and rituals (*dua*) at the shrine. Since the project's inception in 2007, over 85,000 patients from across India have received services at this integrated clinic, and more than 800 traditional healers have been trained as lay mental health workers (Jain, 2021). This is a remarkable reach, indicating that the model tapped into an existing large user base of the shrine. Outcomes have been encouraging: many patients showed improvements by combining medical treatment with spiritual support, and the healers became more aware of conditions like schizophrenia where prolonged prayer alone was insufficient (Hamlai, as cited in Jain, 2021). Perhaps most importantly, the *attitude of healers evolved*-today, many shrine healers readily refer mentally ill persons to psychiatric services, something that would have been rare in the past (Srinivasan, as cited in Jain, 2021). The Dava & Dua project demonstrates that collaboration is feasible and beneficial: it leveraged the trust and cultural accessibility of spiritual healers as "gatekeepers" to funnel patients into biomedical care when needed, all the while preserving the spiritual practices that patients value.

Another documented case comes from Gujarat's faith-healer collaboration studied by Shields et al. (2016). In this transcultural psychiatry case study, a formal collaborative program between faith-based healers and allopathic mental health practitioners was implemented in Gujarat and evaluated qualitatively. The collaboration involved periodic meetings between healers and psychiatrists, cross-referral systems, and joint care of patients. Shields et al. (2016) found that the program reached a sizeable population who otherwise had limited access to mental health care. *Key stakeholders gave positive feedback*, noting improvements in patients' health and even social outcomes like regained ability to work (Shields et al., 2016). One of the most praised aspects was that the program *viewed the*

patient holistically, allowing both belief systems-the religious and the medical-to contribute to care (Shields et al., 2016). This holistic perspective meant a patient could take psychiatric medications for symptom relief while also engaging in faith rituals for spiritual solace, without feeling a conflict between the two. The study did acknowledge that building trust and rapport was challenging initially; it required substantial dialogue to overcome mutual suspicions. But once trust was established, healers and doctors could work in tandem. The success of this program echoes similar attempts in other countries where such partnerships have reduced fragmentation of care. It provides a blueprint that *despite very different practices, mutual cooperation can be achieved for the patient's benefit* (Shields et al., 2016).

Government-run integration efforts are also scaling up. As mentioned, District Mental Health Programs (DMHPs) in some regions actively involve traditional healers. Workshops have been held to sensitize faith healers on recognizing mental illness and not using harmful methods, with appeals to refer patients to nearby clinics (Jain, 2021). The National Health Mission reportedly held hundreds of "faith healer awareness camps" across various states, aiming to reduce stigma and spread factual knowledge about mental disorders (Jain, 2021). Furthermore, some state governments have taken novel steps, such as the Delhi government announcing an AYUSH-based mental health program in 2022 that will integrate Ayurveda and yoga in counseling for certain populations (Indian Practitioner, 2022). While details are still emerging, the plan indicates a structured use of Ayurveda's dietary/herbal approaches and yoga/meditation for common mental health issues like anxiety, alongside counseling and psychiatric referral when needed. This kind of program institutionalizes the idea that *traditional modalities can be first-line or complementary options* in appropriate cases, thereby appealing to those who might otherwise never seek help from a psychiatrist.

In clinical practice, individual clinicians are bridging the gap by culturally adapting therapies. Culturally adapted CBT that incorporates clients' spiritual beliefs or uses local metaphors is one example. Another is the use of *community support groups* led by lay counselors with knowledge of local customs. In rural Maharashtra, an NGO-run project trained village women (with no formal mental health training but respected in the community) to deliver basic counseling and relaxation techniques drawn from yoga, as part of a stepped-care for depression. These lay counselors often collaborate informally with village healers-for instance, a faith healer might encourage a patient to attend the counselor's sessions for additional help. Task-shifting mental healthcare to trusted community figures (including traditional healers) is increasingly recognized as necessary given the shortage of professionals (Jain, 2021). Research from low-income settings suggests that training traditional healers in rudimentary mental health care (psychoeducation, identifying red flags, simple counseling skills) can effectively extend the mental health workforce (Tahir et al., 2018). In neighboring Pakistan, a literature review found that engaging religious healers as partners led to better identification of mental health cases and smoother referral to psychiatric services (Tahir et al., 2018). India is experimenting along similar lines, albeit not yet at a national scale.

It is worth noting that bridging the gap is not only about referring patients to doctors-it is also about modern clinicians learning from indigenous practices. There is a wealth of knowledge in traditional systems regarding herbs, dietary influences on mental health, mind-body techniques, etc., which modern science is investigating. For example, some *Ayurvedic herbs* (e.g., brahmi, ashwagandha) have shown anxiolytic or cognitive benefits in studies, potentially complementing pharmacotherapy (Sarris et al., 2016). Ayurveda's understanding of the gut-mind connection (the role of digestive balance in mood) parallels modern research on the gut-brain axis and depression, an area of potential synergy (Ransing et al., 2022). By encouraging interdisciplinary research, integration efforts can lead to new treatment innovations that draw from both systems. The Lancet Psychiatry's India-China Mental Health Alliance explicitly recommended forging "collaborative relationships" between traditional healers and mental health professionals to create more accessible, acceptable care (Thirthalli et al., 2016). They noted that a *"community of practice"* built on such collaboration *holds promise in bridging the treatment gap*, especially given that a substantial proportion of people use traditional or complementary medicine either exclusively or alongside biomedical care (Thirthalli et al., 2016). Essentially, if patients are

already combining the two approaches on their own, it is logical for providers to coordinate those approaches rather than work at cross-purposes.

Cultural competence training is another integrative strategy on the rise. Incorporating indigenous knowledge into the curriculum for psychiatrists and psychologists can better prepare them to engage with patients' belief systems. Some psychology programs in India now include modules on *religion and mental health*, *folk psychiatry*, or *alternative systems*. Moreover, progressive voices in global mental health advocate for decolonizing psychiatry-meaning valuing local healing paradigms and reshaping services accordingly (Gone, 2021). Joseph Gone, a Native American psychologist, coined *"alterNative Psy-ence"* to describe a framework where culture and spirituality are central to healing, challenging the dominance of Western paradigms (Gone, 2021). In India, decolonizing might manifest as integrating spiritual counseling into therapy or allowing rituals in hospital settings (where safe). Some mental health facilities have started providing space for prayer or traditional ceremonies, recognizing the therapeutic importance for patients.

The integrative approach does face practical difficulties. One key requirement for referral models to work is that robust clinical services must exist to accept referrals (Kumar, as cited in Jain, 2021). In remote areas with no psychiatrist for miles, telling faith healers to refer patients is meaningless. Thus, integration efforts must go hand-in-hand with strengthening the formal system's presence at the community level (e.g., via tele-psychiatry or mobile clinics). Another issue is quality control: not all traditional healers are benign or competent. There are fraudulent practitioners who exploit patients, and any large-scale collaboration program must filter and engage only those healers who agree to ethical guidelines (no chaining, no abusive practices, etc.). Building trust can also be a slow process-initial skepticism or fear on both sides can impede cooperation. For example, some healers worry that working with doctors will put them out of business or that doctors will mock their methods. Conversely, some doctors fear that endorsing collaboration grants legitimacy to superstition. Overcoming these mindsets requires sustained dialogue, possibly facilitated by neutral parties (like NGOs or community leaders).

Despite these challenges, the trend in India is clearly moving toward a pluralistic mental healthcare system that embraces medical pluralism. Policymakers, influenced by both international frameworks and grassroots necessity, are increasingly supportive of integrating services. Non-governmental organizations and community initiatives are actively demonstrating workable models. The crux of bridging the gap is the *patient's welfare*: when integration happens, patients receive more comprehensive care. They do not have to choose between their faith and medicine; they can have both. Families feel their traditions are respected, making them more likely to continue treatment. As Dr. Srinivasan of SCARF noted, clinicians at her center *"don't generally dissuade families from going to religious places"* as long as it isn't harmful-because removing a patient's faith foundation can be destabilizing (Jain, 2021). Instead, they work with it, even encouraging positive spiritual coping while ensuring medical needs are met. This pragmatic attitude is what bridging looks like in practice: meeting patients where they are, culturally and spiritually, and building a therapeutic alliance that spans both realms.

Challenges and Ethical Considerations in Integration

While the integration of indigenous practices with modern psychology holds great promise, it also raises important challenges and ethical considerations that must be addressed:

- **Scientific Evidence and Efficacy:** A major critique of incorporating traditional healing is the relative lack of *empirical evidence* for many such practices. Modern healthcare is evidence-driven, and many AYUSH or folk interventions have not been rigorously tested in clinical trials. For instance, a review by Ransing et al. (2022) noted that many studies on AYUSH treatments are of low quality, making it hard to draw firm conclusions on efficacy. Some approaches might simply be ineffective or work only through placebo. Ethically, while patient choice is important, professionals must avoid endorsing treatments known to be ineffective or harmful. The onus is on researchers to systematically study traditional methods-a process which is underway but needs expansion. In the meantime, clinicians face a dilemma: how to respect patients' healing choices without

compromising on standards of care. One approach is *informed consent and shared decision-making*-educating patients about what is known or unknown scientifically about a traditional remedy and jointly agreeing on a plan that can include it if it poses no harm. The integration should not mean blindly blending everything, but rather a selective, evidence-informed approach (Thirthalli et al., 2016). For example, yoga and meditation have passed the evidence threshold to be recommended as adjunct therapies, whereas something like *astrological rituals* may remain a purely optional cultural practice with no scientific basis.

- **Safety and Regulation:** Traditional healers and treatments operate outside the purview of mainstream medical regulation. This raises safety issues. How do we ensure that integrated healers do no harm? One solution is to create some form of *accreditation or partnership system*. Only those traditional healers who agree to basic guidelines (no physical harm, no advising to stop essential medications, etc.) should be formally integrated. Regulatory frameworks might need updates-for example, the MHCA 2017 implies recognition of AYUSH in mental health, but how will quality be monitored? Additionally, herbal remedies can have pharmacological effects and interactions. If a patient is on both Ayurvedic herbs and psychiatric drugs, there must be systems for monitoring interactions (which most allopathic doctors currently know little about). The Ministry of AYUSH could collaborate with the psychiatric community to develop integrative treatment protocols that ensure safety-for instance, defining which herbal supplements are safe with antidepressants, or training AYUSH practitioners in identifying side effects of psychiatric drugs so they can assist in pharmacovigilance. Without these measures, there's a risk of adverse outcomes that could discredit integration efforts.
- **Ethical Practice and Human Rights:** Some indigenous practices conflict with human rights or modern ethical standards. Forced exorcisms, ritualistic harm, or discrimination (e.g., attributing illness to "bad karma" in a way that blames the patient) are problematic. Integration cannot mean condoning such practices. Thus, a careful line must be walked: preserve cultural empathy but reject practices that violate human rights. This is reflected in policy after the Erawadi tragedy-there were calls for a ban on faith healing centers that chain or detain patients (Supreme Court of India, 2002). An ethical integrated approach would intervene in such abusive situations while offering alternative culturally sensitive care. Moreover, there is the question of consent: patients should have the autonomy to choose or refuse traditional healing as part of their care plan. If a patient prefers only biomedical treatment and no spiritual aspect, that choice must be respected as well. Integration is not about forcing everyone to use traditional methods, but about making options available and coordinating them.
- **Power Dynamics and Mutual Respect:** Historically, the relationship between traditional and modern practitioners has been asymmetric. Doctors held institutional power and often dismissed indigenous healers, whereas healers commanded community trust but were marginalized in official circles. True integration requires leveling this power dynamic to foster *mutual respect*. This is easier said than done. Medical professionals will need to practice cultural humility-acknowledging that Western psychiatry does not have all answers and that lived cultural knowledge has value. Traditional healers, on their part, may need to acknowledge the benefits of scientific advancements. Programmes that enable dialogue (like joint workshops or exchange visits between healers and doctors) can help reduce stereotypes. For example, after being involved in the Dava & Dua project, some psychiatrists admitted they gained appreciation for the *counseling skills* of the shrine healers, and some healers admitted they saw the patients improve faster with medication-learning from each other. It's important to avoid patronizing attitudes in integration; healers should be treated as partners, not as mere "gatekeepers" to exploit. Likewise, healers must treat medical advice seriously and not see it as encroachment. Achieving this parity is an ongoing challenge and requires efforts in professional education and community sensitization.
- **Cultural Sensitivity vs. Scientific Rigor:** Integrative care can sometimes face tensions between maintaining cultural authenticity of a practice and modifying it to fit clinical standards. For example, traditional ceremonies might involve lengthy fasting or use of incense that could be unsafe for certain patients. If modified (e.g., shorter duration, avoid smoke) to suit a hospital setting, would it

lose its essence? These questions will arise frequently. A delicate balance must be struck: adapting practices in a way that retains their core healing element while removing clear risks. Engaging the practitioners themselves in this adaptation process is crucial so that they guide what aspects are non-negotiable and what can change.

- **Continuity of Care:** When multiple healers and doctors are involved, ensuring continuity and consistency in care is challenging. Who "leads" the treatment plan? To address this, some integrated models assign a case manager (maybe a social worker or trained lay counselor) who coordinates between the psychiatrist and the faith healer, and keeps track of the patient's overall progress. Without coordination, there is risk of fragmentation-for instance, a patient might get conflicting advice (one says stop medicines, other says continue). Building a referral network with feedback loops (healer refers to doctor, doctor updates healer on diagnosis and plan, healer provides support accordingly, etc.) is ideal. Digital technology could even be leveraged: imagine an app where a traditional healer can schedule a psychiatric consultation for their client and receive follow-up instructions. These systemic solutions are still in nascent stages but are conceptually straightforward.
- **Public Perception and Stigma:** Another consideration is how integration is viewed by the public and by families. Ideally, it should reduce stigma by normalizing mental health treatment as just another form of seeking help, akin to visiting a temple. If done well, having mental health services at community sites (like the shrine clinic) can remove the "us vs them" perception and present it as a continuum of care. However, a flip side is possible-some fear that endorsing faith healing might inadvertently legitimize superstition or keep alive notions like demonic possession as causes of mental illness. Education must therefore go hand in hand: integration should be accompanied by community education that provides a modern understanding of mental illness in culturally appropriate language.

CONCLUSION

The journey toward bridging indigenous community mental health practices with modern psychological models in India is complex but highly rewarding. India's rich heritage of indigenous healing-from Ayurveda and yoga to local faith healers and spiritual rituals-offers a reservoir of approaches that resonate deeply with people's cultural identities. Modern psychology and psychiatry bring empirically grounded treatments and a scientific understanding of mental disorders. By integrating the two, India aspires to create a mental healthcare ecosystem that is both culturally anchored and scientifically sound.

Evidence and experiences discussed in this paper indicate that such integration can greatly promote health and well-being. Communities benefit from a more accessible and acceptable care system, where seeking help does not require abandoning one's cultural beliefs. Patients have shown improved outcomes when they receive holistic care addressing both biomedical and spiritual needs. For example, collaborative programs have yielded positive health improvements and restored social functioning, precisely because they treat the person, not just the disorder (Shields et al., 2016). Furthermore, bridging the gap helps in reducing the mental health treatment gap. Mobilizing indigenous practitioners as front-line mental health allies adds manpower in resource-poor settings. When two-thirds of patients go to traditional healers first, incorporating those healers into the formal care pathway ensures those patients are not lost to follow-up. It creates new entry points into the system, as seen in Dava & Dua where tens of thousands received help who might never have gone to a hospital on their own (Jain, 2021). In a country with so few mental health professionals, leveraging community resources is not just ideal, it is imperative. One might ask, are we doing enough to integrate these valuable resources into mainstream care? The progress is visible, but much more can be done to institutionalize such models nationwide.

From a pedagogical and research standpoint, integrating indigenous knowledge also enriches modern psychology. It challenges professionals to widen their conceptual lenses, to learn about alternate explanatory models of mental distress, and to appreciate healing in a broader socio-cultural context. This can lead to more effective therapeutic communication and novel interventions (for

example, incorporating prayer or ritual as part of therapy for those who find meaning in it). It aligns with global movements of *community mental health* and *cultural psychiatry* that emphasize context and person-centered care. It is indeed a form of decolonizing mental health care-making it more inclusive and just, especially for marginalized indigenous communities.

That said, this integration must proceed with care, ensuring that it bypasses neither critical thinking nor compassion. The ultimate aim is improving patient well-being. Thus, harmful practices must be eliminated, and all introduced methods should ideally be subjected to evaluation. Policies like the MHCA 2017 have paved the way by legitimizing integrative care; now it is up to practitioners, communities, and researchers to operationalize those principles on the ground. This involves continuing to build trust between healers and health professionals, expanding training and education on both sides, and developing guidelines for collaboration that can be scaled up. It also involves securing funding and political will-integrated programs must be supported and not remain as small pilot projects.

In conclusion, bridging indigenous and modern practices in mental health is not only about curing illness, but also about healing the whole person and community. It reflects a model of care that values cultural diversity, accessibility, and holistic wellness. As this paper has discussed, India provides a fertile ground for such a model, with encouraging examples already in place. By learning from successes and failures, refining approaches, and maintaining a patient-centric ethic, India can evolve a mental health system that truly *“bridges the gap”*-yielding a society where mental health and well-being are promoted through every effective means available, traditional or modern. This integrated approach stands to benefit not just indigenous communities but the population at large, offering a blueprint for culturally sensitive mental healthcare that other nations with pluralistic healing traditions can also emulate.

REFERENCES

1. Ali, A. (2023). Integrating traditional healing and modern mental healthcare in India: Collaboration and challenges. *Indian Journal of Psychiatric Social Work, 14*(1), 42–46.
2. Behere, P. B., Das, A., Yadav, R., & Behere, A. P. (2013). Ayurvedic concepts related to psychotherapy. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 55*(Suppl 2), S310–S314. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.105556
3. Biswal, R., Subudhi, C., & Acharya, S. K. (2017). Healers and healing practices of mental illness in India: The role of proposed eclectic healing model. *Journal of Health Research and Reviews, 4*(3), 89–95. https://doi.org/10.4103/jhrr.jhrr_49_17
4. Gangadhar, B. N. (2023). Evidence-based integration of yoga in psychiatric practice. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 65*(1), 5–11. https://doi.org/10.4103/indianjpsychiatry.indianjpsychiatry_813_22
5. Jain, M. (2021, May 11). How can India’s faith healers play a role in mental health care? *Devex*. https://www.devex.com/news/how-can-india-s-faith-healers-play-a-role-in-mental-health-care-99863
6. Kar, N. (2008). Resort to faith-healing practices in the pathway to care for mental illness: A study on psychiatric inpatients in Orissa. *Mental Health, Religion & Culture, 11*(7), 720–740. https://doi.org/10.1080/13674670701585143
7. Mishra, N., Nagpal, S., Chadda, R. K., & Sood, M. (2011). Help-seeking behavior of patients with mental health problems visiting a tertiary care center in north India. *Indian Journal of Psychiatry, 53*(3), 234–238. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.86813
8. Pandey, A. K., Mohan, A., &** Pathak, N. (2023). India’s journey in mainstreaming AYUSH in primary health care-from tradition to integration. *Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 12*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_123_23
9. Raguram, R., Venkateswaran, A., Ramakrishna, J., & Weiss, M. G. (2002). Traditional community resources for mental health: a report of temple healing from India. *BMJ, 325*(7354), 38–40. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7354.38
10. Ransing, R., Kar, S. K., & Menon, V. (2022). Alternative medicine under the Mental Health Care Act, 2017: Future implications and concerns. *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics, VII*(1), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.20529/IJME.2022.004

11. Schoonover, J., Lipkin, S., Javid, M., & Katz, C. (2014). Perceptions of traditional healing for mental illness in rural Gujarat. *Annals of Global Health, 80*(2), 96–102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aogh.2014.04.010
12. Shields, L., Chauhan, A., Bakre, R., Hamlai, M., Lynch, D., & Bunders, J. (2016). How can mental health and faith-based practitioners work together? A case study of collaborative mental health in Gujarat, India. *Transcultural Psychiatry, 53*(3), 368–391. https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461516649835
13. Subudhi, C., Biswal, R., & Meenakshi, J. R. (2020). Healing preferences among tribal patients with mental illness in India. *Journal of Neurosciences in Rural Practice, 11*(2), 361–362. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1709374
14. Thara, R., Islam, A., & Padmavati, R. (1998). Beliefs about mental illness: a study of a rural South Indian community. *International Journal of Mental Health, 27*(3), 70–85. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207411.1998.11449400
15. Thirthalli, J., Zhou, L., Kumar, K. K., Gao, J., Vaid, H., Liu, H., ... & Nichter, M. (2016). Traditional, complementary, and alternative medicine approaches to mental health care and psychological wellbeing in India and China. *Lancet Psychiatry, 3*(7), 660–672. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30025-6
16. Wollie, A. M., Usher, K., Rice, K., & Islam, M. S. (2025). Health professionals' attitudes towards traditional healing for mental illness: A systematic review. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing, 34*(2), 218–233. https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.13073